Spinor Self-Ordering of a Quantum Gas in a Cavity
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We observe the joint spin-spatial (spinor) self-organization of a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) strongly coupled to an optical cavity. This unusual nonequilibrium Hepp-Lieb-Dicke phase transition is driven by an off-resonant Raman transition formed from a classical pump field and the emergent quantum dynamical cavity field. This mediates a spinor-spinor interaction that, above a critical strength, simultaneously organizes opposite spinor states of the BEC on opposite checkerboard configurations of an emergent 2D lattice. The resulting spinor density-wave polariton condensate is observed by directly detecting the atomic spin and momentum state and by holographically reconstructing the phase of the emitted cavity field. The latter provides a direct measure of the spin state, and a spin-spatial domain wall is observed. The photon-mediated spin interactions demonstrated here may be engineered to create dynamical gauge fields and quantum spin glasses.
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The strong interaction between quantum matter and light provided by cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) provides unique opportunities for exploring quantum many-body physics away from equilibrium [1–3]. One particularly rich setting in which to explore such physics is provided by systems realizing the driven-dissipative (Hepp-Lieb) Dicke model of two atomic states strongly coupled to an optical cavity field [1,3]. In this work, we present the observation of a nonequilibrium Dicke superradiant phase transition involving the spontaneous ordering of coupled atomic spin and spatial motion [4]. While previous work used atom-photon interactions to engineer spatial [5] or spin [6] self-organization, this work combines the two in a demonstration of spinor self-organization. Moreover, in this present system, cavity photons mediate an effective position-dependent spin-spin interaction; the resulting transverse Ising model that is realized opens future directions toward the study of artificial quantum spin glasses and neural networks in a driven-dissipative setting [7–16]. Moreover, with minor modification, this system could manifest dynamical gauge fields [17–22], resulting in topological superfluids and exotic quantum Hall states.

As originally proposed [23], the nonequilibrium Dicke model describes an Ising \( (\mathbb{Z}_2) \) symmetry-breaking transition of a spin-1/2 system coupled to a single cavity mode. The phase transition of the nonequilibrium Dicke model is closer to a classical than a quantum transition, though distinct from both [3,24–27]. Experimentally, the nonequilibrium Dicke model could be realized by freezing the spins in a 2D lattice of period \( \lambda/2 \), where \( \lambda \) is approximately the wavelength of both the pump and cavity fields. The spins are disordered below the transition threshold and the cavity field is in a near-vacuum state. Above a pump threshold, the spins order in a \( \lambda \)-periodic checkerboard pattern [either up (down) on the black (white) sites or vice versa] allowing the atoms to superradiantly scatter photons into the cavity mode. The emergent coherent field further orders the spins in a self-reinforcing manner. Cavity dissipation stabilizes the driven, emergent spin order, and the phase of the cavity emission locks to either 0 or \( \pi \) relative to the pump phase depending on the symmetry-broken state. Superradiant cavity emission of a spin-1 Dicke transition was observed with thermal atoms coupled to a cavity [6,28].

Both pseudospin organization and superradiant emission have been observed in an alternative form of the nonequilibrium Dicke transition [5,29,30]. In that version, a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) matter wave is coupled to a cavity, where two different motional states play the role of up and down spin components. The atoms occupy either the black or white checkerboard sites (spaced \( \lambda \) apart) of the emergent 2D lattice. The pseudospin organization was detected by observing Bragg peaks at a momentum consistent with a checkerboard lattice together with detection of the relative phase locking of the pump and superradiant cavity emission [5]. The organized state may be called a “density-wave polariton condensate” in recognition of the joint light-matter-wave nature of the quasiparticles in the macroscopically occupied and coherent density-photon mode [31]. Roton instabilities and the extended Bose-Hubbard model have been realized [32–34], and similar systems employing a few degenerate cavity modes.
have created a supersolid [35], an intertwined spatial order [36], and supermode-density-wave polariton condensates [31]. A superradiant motional transition also occurs in cavities with spinless thermal atoms [37–39]. Self-organization of cold thermal gases and laser arrays due to optical feedback from a single mirror have also been observed [40–44].

What type of nonequilibrium phase transition arises when the pump and cavity fields couple atomic motion and spin? Reference [4] describes such a system as a nonequilibrium spin-spatial Dicke superradiant phase transition in which atomic spins can flip while scattering photons into the spin-spatial Dicke superradiant phase transition in which atomic spins can flip while scattering photons into the cavity, picking up recoil momentum in the process [45]. This creates a spin-decorated checkerboard lattice, whose state is a “spinor density-wave-polariton condensate.” The spinor density wave is described by the superposition of spinor operators \( \hat{\Psi}_i \) described below, and arises due to a spinor-spinor interaction proportional to \( \hat{\Psi}_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})\hat{\Psi}_j^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})\hat{\Psi}_i(\mathbf{r})\). We note that this scenario is distinct from an emergent texture of a two-component BEC recently observed in a miscible-immiscible transition created by a state-dependent optical lattice arising from a nonequilibrium Dicke transition [57]. In this experiment, the cavity mediated a density-density interaction \( \hat{\rho} \) between two Zeeman states \( m = \pm 1 \) of a BEC and the two-component texture emerged above a critical ratio of the relative scalar and vector polarizabilities of the light fields.

We now describe the experimental system before reporting our observations of the superradiant spinor phase transition. Figure 1(a) shows the experimental configuration; see Refs. [58,59] for details. We trap within the cavity a BEC of \( 4.1 \times 10^5 \) \(^{87}\)Rb atoms in the \( |F, m_F = 1, -1 \rangle \) state with Thomas-Fermi radii \( (R_x, R_y, R_z) = (10.3(1), 9.4(1), 12.8(2)) \) \( \mu \)m. These are smaller than the \( w_0 = 35 \) \( \mu \)m waist of the TEM\(_{0,0}\) cavity mode [60]. A crossed optical dipole trap confines the BEC and is formed by a pair of 1064-nm laser beams propagating along \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{z} \), respectively; its frequencies are \( (\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z) = 2\pi \times [58(1), 63(1), 47(1)] \) Hz.

To engineer the spinor Dicke Hamiltonian, we couple two internal states of \(^{87}\)Rb, \( |F, m_F = 1, 1, -1 \rangle \equiv |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |F, m_F = 2, -2 \rangle \equiv |\downarrow\rangle \), through two cavity-assisted (two-photon) Raman processes; see Fig. 1(b). A bias magnetic field of \( \approx 2.83 \) G is applied along \( +\hat{z} \), the direction of the quantization axis, resulting in an energy difference \( \omega_{K\hat{z}} \approx 6.829 \) GHz between \( |\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |\downarrow\rangle \) due to hyperfine splitting and Zeeman shifts. The Raman processes are created by the cavity and transversely oriented pump fields. The cavity field is that of the TEM\(_{0,0}\) mode at frequency \( \omega_c \) with coupling strength \( g = g_0 \Xi(x, z) \), where \( g_0 \) is the maximum single-atom coupling rate and \( \Xi(x, z) \) is the transverse-mode profile. The pump beams have frequency \( \omega_{Kz} \) such that \( \omega_{\pm} = \omega_c \pm 2(\omega_{Kx} + \delta) \), where \( \delta \) is the Raman detuning. Each pump field is far detuned from the atomic excited...
state by $\Delta_{\pm}$ with coupling strengths $\Omega_{\pm}$. Their mean frequency $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega_+ + \omega_-)/2$ is detuned by $\Delta_{\pm} = \tilde{\omega} - \omega_{\pm}$ from the cavity. The pump beams are retroreflected off the same mirror to create a phase-stable lattice; see Ref. [46] for details.

This coupling realizes the interaction Hamiltonian between the two components of the spinor state $\psi(r) = [\psi_0^\dagger(r), \psi_1^\dagger(r)]^T$ given by [4,46]

$$H_{\text{int}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \eta \hat{\sigma}_x(r)(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \cos k_x x \cos k_y y,$$

where the coupling strength $\eta$ is equal for both Raman transitions, $\hat{a}$ is the annihilation operator for the intracavity field, and $\hat{\sigma}_x(r) = [\psi_0^\dagger(r)\psi_1^\dagger(r) + \psi_0^\dagger(r)\psi_1^\dagger(r)]/2$. Given the initial state $|\downarrow\rangle$, and within the single recoil scattering limit [61], the spinor components take the form $\psi_0^\dagger(r) = \tilde{c}_0 \psi_0(r)$ and $\psi_1^\dagger(r) = \tilde{c}_1 \psi_1(r)$, with the total atom number $N = \tilde{c}_0^\dagger \tilde{c}_0 + \tilde{c}_1^\dagger \tilde{c}_1$. The zero- and one-recoil wave functions equal $\psi_0 = 1$ and $\psi_1(r) = 2 \cos k_x x \cos k_y y$, with the recoil momentum $\hbar k_r = 2\hbar \tilde{\omega}/\lambda$. The form of $\psi_1(r)$ is due to the 2D optical lattice emerging from the crossed pump and cavity standing-wave fields.

Performing the spatial integral and defining pseudospin-1/2 operators as $\hat{J}_z = [\tilde{c}_0^\dagger \tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_1^\dagger \tilde{c}_0]/2$ and $\hat{J}_\pm = \tilde{c}_0^\dagger \tilde{c}_1 \mp \tilde{c}_1^\dagger \tilde{c}_0$, we arrive at the spinor Dicke-model Hamiltonian [46]:

$$H_D = -\Delta_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + (2\omega_r - \delta) \hat{J}_z + \frac{\eta_D}{\sqrt{N}} (\hat{J}_+ + \hat{J}_-)(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger).$$

The $\hat{J}_+$ operate on the coupled pseudospin-1/2 spin-spatial degree of freedom. The recoil frequency is $\omega_r = \hbar k_r^2/2m$, $\Delta_c$ is $\Delta$, minus the dispersive light shift, $\delta = \delta - \omega_r$, where $\omega_r$ is the ac Stark shift, and $\eta_D = \sqrt{N}/2$. The first two terms account for the bare cavity energy and the energy shift between the spinor pseudospin states, respectively.

The organized system exhibits a nonzero order parameter $\Theta = \int d\mathbf{r} \cos k_x x \cos k_y y \hat{\sigma}_x(r)/N$ above a critical coupling strength $\eta_D > \eta_{\text{th}}$, where $\eta_{\text{th}} = [\Delta_c(2\omega_r - \delta)]^{1/2}/2$ and $\Theta = \pm 1$ in the $Z_2$-symmetry-broken state [62]. As shown in Fig. 1(e), the organized state is one of the $|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |w\rangle$ states of a spin-polarized $\lambda$-periodic checkerboard, where $|\uparrow\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle = \pm |\uparrow\rangle$ are the $\hat{\sigma}_z$ eigenstates and $|b\rangle, |w\rangle$ are the black or white checkerboard sites. The $Z_2$ broken symmetry is reflected in the choice between $|\leftarrow\rangle$ or $|\rightarrow\rangle$ residing on black sites.

Though staggered, the spinor pseudospin state is ferromagnetic. This can be seen by integrating out the cavity field and rewriting Eq. (1) as an Ising Hamiltonian [46]:

$$H_{\text{Ising}} \propto \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \cos k_x x_i \cos k_x x_j \cos k_x y_i \cos k_x y_j \hat{\sigma}_i^z \hat{\sigma}_j^z.$$
threshold, Fig. 2(c) shows that spin-decorated Bragg peaks appear in a fashion expected from Fig. 1(d). The absence of \(|↑\rangle\) atoms at \(k = 0\) and \(|↓\rangle\) atoms at the first-order momentum peaks indicates that spinor order has emerged in the form of a \(λ\)-periodic checkerboard pattern in the \(|→\rangle\) basis.

Above threshold, the frequency of the superradiant cavity emission should be locked at \(Ω\) [23]. Moreover, the phase of the emission should lock to either 0 or \(π\) (depending on the \(Z_2\) broken symmetry) with respect to a local oscillator (LO) field at \(ω_{LO} = \bar{ω} + \delta_{LO}\). This field is coherently generated from one of the pump fields. To establish that both effects occur, we measure the phase of the cavity field emission in a spatially resolved fashion using holographic reconstruction [46]. Briefly, the LO field \(E_{LO}\) is shone at an angle onto the same electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera detecting the cavity emission \(E_c\), as depicted in Fig. 1(a). If the LO has the appropriate frequency (i.e., \(\delta_{LO} = 0\)), the phase locking between the superradiant emission and the pump beam results in spatial interference fringes on the camera, realizing a spatial heterodyne measurement of cavity field phase and amplitude [46].

The amplitude of the fringes is proportional to \(\chi(\delta_{LO})|E_c, E_{LO}|\), where the reduction of fringe contrast is characterized by the factor \(\chi(\delta_{LO})\) and is plotted in Fig. 3. Factors contributing to this reduction are discussed in Ref. [46]. A distinct peak appears at \(\delta_{LO} = 0\), as expected, while a significant averaging out of fringe contrast is manifest for detunings larger than \(1/T\), where \(T = 2\) ms is the EMCCD integration time, due to a nonzero fringe phase velocity. This demonstrates a unique feature of the spinor Dicke model: cavity emission is detuned exactly halfway between the transverse pump beams, not at either or both of their frequencies. The high contrast fringes at \(\delta_{LO} = 0\) shows that the phase is both stable and spatially constant over the superradiant emission pattern of the TEM\(_{1,0}\) mode.

We now present a measurement of the relative phase locking of the cavity and pump fields. This is determined both by observing a \(π\) phase change of the superradiant emission across an induced spinor domain wall and by observing a nodal structural factor in the first-order atomic Bragg peaks caused by this domain wall. To create adjacent spinor domains with opposite order parameter \(Θ\), the above experiment is repeated, but with the cavity frequency tuned near the first-order transverse-mode TEM\(_{1,0}\); \(Ω\) is set to \(Δ_c = -1\) MHz [46]. The field profile \(Ξ(x, z)_{1,0}\) of this mode changes sign across the \(x = 0\) nodal line in the \(x-z\) plane. The node appears in the superradiant cavity emission amplitude and phase as shown in Fig. 4(a). The spinor order compensates for this sign change in the cavity field by flipping the \(Z_2\)-symmetry-broken state from \(Θ = ±1\) to \(±1\) across the nodal line. That is, the spin-angular checkerboard pattern shifts by \(λ/2\). The system does so to allow all the atoms to superradiantly emit into the cavity in phase, thereby minimizing the organization threshold. This effect has been discussed for purely spatial organization [31].

Holographic reconstruction of the emitted cavity field reveals the existence of this \(π\) phase shift on either side of

![FIG. 3. Fringe amplitude factor \(χ\) as function of local oscillator frequency detuning \(\delta_{LO}\). The cavity is pumped above threshold at a detuning \(Δ_c = -4\) MHz from the TEM\(_{1,0}\) cavity resonance. The camera integration time is 2 ms. Insets show the spatial heterodyne signal—with local oscillator field subtracted for clarity—for both a maximal \(χ\) and where fringes average out at \(\delta_{LO} = 3\) kHz. Error bars represent 1 standard deviation over five repetitions.](image)

![FIG. 4. (a) Holographic reconstruction of cavity field amplitude and phase for a cavity locked near the TEM\(_{1,0}\) mode whose spatial profile \(Ξ(x, z)\) exhibits a sign flip at \(x = 0\). The phase of the right-hand lobe is defined as 0 with respect to the local oscillator. The phase shows a jump of exactly \(π\) across the cavity center, demonstrating the fixed relative phase difference between the \(Θ = ±1\) states with respect to the local oscillator phase. (b) Observed spin-density structure factor. The small-\(k\) transverse-mode structure appears as a node in the first-order Bragg peaks. The combination of atomic and photonic observations indicates the existence of a domain wall in the spinor.](image)
the nodal line; see Fig. 4(a). The line defect also appears in the momentum distribution of the atoms shown in Fig. 4(b), where a node in the first-order Bragg peaks appears due to the structure factor in the spinor organization. Together with the phase flip of π, the nodal structure factor implies a spinor domain wall along (0, z). In degenerate-mode cavities, such as the adjustable-length near-confocal cavity system of Refs. [31,58], interference among modes could lead to topological spin-defect textures and local spin-spin interactions [7,59].

We have observed a spinor nonequilibrium Dicke super-radiant phase transition among spinful atoms in a BEC coupled to a cavity. A domain wall in the resultant spinor density-wave polariton condensate was observed. The photon-mediated, Ising-type spin-spin interactions realized here may enable the study of quantum spin glass physics [7,8,10]. Such systems may lead to quantum dissipative neuromorphic computing devices [9,11–16]. Lastly, a simple reconfiguration of the pump fields will enable the generation of dynamical spin-orbit coupling and gauge fields [17–22].
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[61] Indeed, Fig. 2(c) shows that the $k = 0$ peak is much more populous than the first-order peaks.

[62] Evidence for $Z_2$-symmetry breaking in similar superradiant transitions is in Refs. [5,31,37,63].